

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

11 WILLIAM J. GRADFORD,
12 Plaintiff,
13 v.
14 F. VELASCO, et al.,
15 Defendants.

No. 1:20-cv-00543-NONE-EPG (PC)
ORDER REGARDING OBJECTIONS
(Doc. No. 72)

17 Plaintiff William J. Gradford is a former pretrial detainee who filed this civil rights
18 action on April 16, 2020, pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United
19 States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.

20 On July 1, 2021, the magistrate judge entered findings and recommendations,
21 recommending that this case be dismissed without prejudice as voluntarily dismissed and
22 providing the parties 14 days to file any objections. (Doc. No. 70.) After no timely objections
23 were filed, this court adopted the findings and recommendations on August 2, 2021, and this
24 case was closed. (Doc. No. 71.)

25 On September 20, 2021, plaintiff filed a document titled “objection due process clause,”
26 which the court assumes is intended as objections to the magistrate judge’s findings and
27 recommendations to dismiss this case. (Doc. No. 72.) These objections are untimely, and even
28

1 if they were not, they fail to state any coherent objections or a reason for the court to reopen the
2 case.

3 Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that this case remains closed.
4

5 IT IS SO ORDERED.
6

Dated: October 5, 2021

Dale A. Drayd
7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28